



Responses to RFI-2

DATE: July 21, 2015

TO: All Prospective Consultant Firms Proposers

***For Professional Design Services for the Safe Routes to School (SR2S)
Cycle 10 & Professional Design Services for the Safe Routes to School
(SRTS) Cycle 3; City Projects No. ST-73 and ST-66***

1. Will the City provide any CADD base plans (as-built) for any of the project locations?

Applicant shall consider for this proposal the city will provide as-built plans hard copies of the proposed locations only.

2. Does the City anticipate installing any drainage inlets (where subsurface SD line exists) at locations with full bulb-outs?

This department doesn't anticipate any drainage inlets, unless required by the design.

3. Section IV-G should read that signing & striping plans, traffic related technical specifications and estimates shall include the signature and seal of registered Traffic Engineer "AND/OR a registered Professional Civil Engineer (PE/RCE) in the State of California" (this component not to be limited to only TE certification according to Department of Consumer Affairs, State Board of Registration).

No traffic signal work is contemplated for any of the two cycles, so the City will accept all striping plans, traffic related technical specifications, and engineer's estimates plans shall be signed and seal by a Civil Engineer (PE/RCE) and/or Traffic Engineer (TE).

4. We typically conduct eight(8)-hour traffic volume counts for traffic control device warrant assessments. Does the City want the count period(s) to last any longer than this (e.g., 24-hour ADTs, multiple days, etc.)?

Only what is required to comply for warrants requirements.

5. For flashing beacons, will the City accept the design of any solar-powered installations, where they are feasible?

Solar powered is acceptable; this department in coordination with hired consultant will designate the location of RRFB.

6. For bulb-out and crossing island installations, does the City require vertical elevations and cross sections, or will a "design plan" format showing horizontal curvature suffice? If topo is required,

is the following elevation points/GBs acceptable for the survey: CL, EOP, FL, TC, BC, BW at 10' increments within 50' of curb returns.

Proposed points for elevations are acceptable.

7. Section IV-G.7(b): As part of the construction support services, we are merely to provide inspection services of the Contractor's construction survey and staking, correct? And not to actually stake/mark-out each project site with our own forces?

This is correct; Construction staking shall be mentioned on the plans and on the bid form's list of items.

8. Will the City request assistance from the Consultant to prepare Caltrans LAPM documents (PS&E checklist, Caltrans-formatted bid schedule, RFA, etc.)

No. City's staff will provide these documents.

9. For the technical spec and contract documents, will the City provide its latest boilerplate? Or is significant updating to meet Caltrans latest standards/specifications/provisions anticipated by the City?

Yes. City will provide the required boiler plate; also mentioned documents shall comply with the following grants requirements:

Project SRTS-Cycle 3; shall comply with LAPM that is for federal funds

Project SR2S-Cycle 10; shall comply with LAPM that is for state funds

The Engineering Firm is hereby notified; "Final Responses to RFI" must be acknowledged, and submitted as part of the Proposal. Failure to do so shall result in the City designating said proposal as "Non-Responsive".

APPROVED:

Oscar Espinoza
Oscar Espinoza, PE, Senior Civil Engineer

BIDDER ACKNOWLEDGMENT:

Signature acknowledging receipt of RFI No. 2

Printed Name & Date

Company